

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference R03402PCT---	FOR FURTHER ACTION	
	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/011752	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 16 August 2004 (16.08.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 28 August 2003 (28.08.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant RICOH COMPANY, LTD.		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).		

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Authorized officer Masashi Honda
Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference R03402PCT---		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/011752	International filing date (day/month/year) 16.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.08.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant RICOH COMPANY, LTD.		

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
2.	FURTHER ACTION	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.		
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.		
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011752

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
	<p>1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).</p> <p>2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">a. type of material<p><input type="checkbox"/> a sequence listing <input type="checkbox"/> table(s) related to the sequence listing</p>b. format of material<p><input type="checkbox"/> in written format <input type="checkbox"/> in computer readable form</p>c. time of filing/furnishing<p><input type="checkbox"/> contained in the international application as filed. <input type="checkbox"/> filed together with the international application in computer readable form. <input type="checkbox"/> furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.</p> <p>4. Additional comments:</p>

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011752

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
 paid additional fees
 paid additional fees under protest
 not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
 complied with
 not complied with for the following reasons:

Claims 1-14 relate to an optical information recording method which when forming, by a laser beam containing the identical number of mark recording periods, a mark having a length of a reference clock cycle multiplied by an even number or a mark having a length multiplied by an odd number which is one larger than the even number, uses a laser beam driven by a pulse string generated at the reference clock cycle multiplied by two.

Claims 15-21 relate to an optical information recording medium in which the recording conditions standardized by a reference clock period are preformatted for forming a mark having a length of a reference clock cycle multiplied by an even number or a mark having a length multiplied by an odd number which is one larger than the even number, according to the identical number of mark recording periods each consisting of a heating power period for melting a recording layer and a cooling power period for cooling the recording layer which are repeated alternately during a laser beam irradiation period.

Claims 22-24 relate to an information recording device having a system controller for extracting mark forming conditions for forming a mark by comparing information detected by a wobble signal detection part and a specified table.

Claim 25 relates to an information recording device for forming a mark from an information detected by a wobble signal detection part, having a recoding pulse string generation part for extracting the mark forming conditions standardized according to a clock signal period and generating a pulse string based on the converted mark length, based on the standardized mark forming conditions extracted and the clock signal period generated by a recording clock generation part.
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
 all parts
 the parts relating to claims Nos. _____

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011752

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																									
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td>Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-25</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-25</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-25</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table>			Novelty (N)	Claims	1-25	YES		Claims		NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-25	YES		Claims		NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-25	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-25	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-25	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-25	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: JP, 2002-312934, A (Ricoh Company, Ltd.), 25 October, 2002 (25.10.02), full text, Figs. 1-7</p> <p>Document 2: JP, 2002-334433, A (Ricoh Company, Ltd.), 22 November, 2002 (22.11.02), full text, Figs. 1-7</p> <p>Document 3: Microfilm of the specification and drawings annexed to the written application of Japanese Utility Model Application No. 63-79435 (Laid-open No. 2-5111) (Sony Corporation), 12 January, 1990 (12.01.90), full text, Figs. 1-3</p> <p>Document 4: JP, 2003-16644, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 17 January, 2003 (17.01.03), full text, Figs. 1-7</p> <p>The subject matters of claims 1-25 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p>																										